

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/765,683	WALKER, CRAIG I.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Devin Hanan	3745	

All Participants:

(1) Devin Hanan.

(2) Julie Morriss Reg No. 33,263.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2005

Time: 130 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102

Claims discussed:

1,9,18

Prior art documents discussed:

Pfarrer 2,437,084

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Side liner and casing have a radially extending portion like Pfarrer, but does not extend past the neck portion of the tangential discharge of the pump. Appropriate language was added to the claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Devin Hanan
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)